

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/075,217		GOZANI ET AL
	Examiner		Art Unit
	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA		3736

All Participants:

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA

(2) Margaret Carley

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

30, 33, 34, 51-53, 63-74, 77, 78, and 86

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and Applicant discussed rejoining non-elected claims 30, 33, 34, 51-53, and 86 drawn to a generic claim and cancelling non-elected claims 63-74, 77, and 78 drawn to a non-elected invention. See attached Notice of Allowability.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: after Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9 a.m.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)